App. F. IX.

Previous References (1938 (Legs))

File No. 25 of 3

Serial Nos. 1.

Letter References

PRESERVED

57

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. one only.

Part A for March, 1938.

SUBJECT.

Promulgation of Law of the Bill to amend the Mysore Irrigation Act.

8(1) To be Compiler, Laws.
No. P 4718 of 14.2.38.

WD 0295—GPB—22,500—18-8-37

Ordered, that the Act to amend the Mysore Irrigation Act, 1932, be published as Act No. VII of 1938, in the Mysore Gazette.

Y. N. Narasimhamurthy
21/2/38

Extracts from the notes and orders in L.R.file 29-35 re: Amendments to the existing law and rules consequent on the orders issued on the Inam Committee's Report.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT 2966 8 JAN 1936 REVENUE BRANCH

---

17. It is submitted that the draft amendments proposed are suitable. The following points would however seem to require consideration.

(i) Para IX of the Revenue Commissioner's Note:- It appears that section 35 (1) of the Irrigation Regulation requires to be amended as proposed already in the Secretariat. Section 3 (1) of the minor Tanks Restoration Regn. also seems to require amendment as already suggested in the secretariat.

(ii) Para 14 of the G.O. re: Remissions:- The Revenue Commissioner may perhaps be instructed to issue suitable instructions in the matter as suggested in para 1 supra.

(iii) Para 17 of the G.O. re: Dist. Bds. being required to pay greater attention to communications etc., in Inam villages: The L.S.G.Branch may perhaps be requested to take necessary action in the matter as proposed in para 1 above.

18. The Director of Land Records may, if approved be consulted U.O. regarding the proposal of the Revenue Commissioner to substitute certain rules for those contained in para 3 of Chapter XV of the Revenue Survey Manual.

A. This will be done if approved. After the opinion of the Director is received, draft amending bill with statements of objects and reasons will be put up together with revised draft rules.

This may be done in another file as it is administrative and not legislative matter.

Intd.A.V.R 30/12.

---

hief Secy)

Intld. D.C.S. 19/12/35.

Extracts may be taken of the 3 items in para 17 and dealt with in separate files (one in each).

The matters relating to revision of Rev. Rules and of ev. Manual may each be submitted in separate files taking xtracts.

Please submit only proposals for xx amendments of L.R.Code in this file.

Proposals for the other Regulations may be submitted in a separate file for each.

Intld. A.V.R.
--'12
D.C.S.

Subt-

Para IX (1) of the Rev Comm's Note in the margin (LR 89-35) is as follows:-

As regards maintenance works, the drainage Regulation being applicable to the whole of the Mysore State, including inam villages, no further legislation is called for// This is not a most point. Vide Note & orders in the file dis-: herewith. Kind reference is invited to the Law Secy's note in para 9 of the subject file. A doubt has been expressed as to whether tanks in inam villages can be considered to be ~~an~~ irrigation works within the meaning of Secns 35, 36 & 37 of the Irrigation Regulation... Perhaps the definition of the term "Irrigation ....." in Sec 3 (i) of the Regn requires an amendment if the provisions of the Regn can be deemed to apply to all tanks in the State. The Law Secy may perhaps kindly advise in the matter.

Chief Secy)

Law Secy)

Serial No.

4) The words "or Controlled wholly or mainly by the Govt" ~~may be deleted~~ occurring in Sec 3 (1)(a) of the Irrigation Regn may be deleted, and the word 'in the State' may be inserted after 'case'

TSD 15/1

409/14

5) Re: maintenance works to tanks in Inam villages, what the G.O of 16th July 1935 directs is that power is to be given to the inamdar to enforce the responsibility of raiyats for such work. This is in pursuance of the recommendation of the Inam Commission suggesting that as regards the maintenance of tanks in inam villages, the raiyats should be treated in the same manner as those in Govt villages, the Kanchiga patti prepared by village officials after approval by the amildar being made the basis for the enforcement of the raiyats' responsibility in the matter.

6) The Rev Comr ~~suggest~~ is of opinion that as the Irrigation Regulation 1932 applies to the whole of mysore, no further legislation is necessary to give effect to this portion of the Govt order of 16.7.35. He seems to think that Sec 35 to 39 of the Irrigation Regulation applies to tanks in inam villages and that

WD 03594—GPB—30,000—18-7-35

therefore there is sufficient provision made to fix responsibility for maintenance on the raiyats concerned and to enforce such responsibility. I do not think Secs 35 to 39 can apply to tanks in inam village, unless they come within the definition of "an irrigation work" in Sec 3(1) of the Regulation. This definition indicates that the only irrigation works contemplated under the Regulation are works constructed, maintained or controlled wholly or mainly by Govt. Most, if not all, the tanks in inam villages are, I think, works not constructed, maintained or controlled by Govt.

7) The suggestion made to amend the definition of "irrigation work" in Sec 3(1) to get over the above difficulty cannot be accepted, because that would mean the application of the whole of the Regulation of 1932 to private tanks in inam villages and this goes much beyond what is contemplated in the Govt order of 16.7.35. It is perhaps sufficient if chapter VIII

Govt. P. 352-409 Ind. M. 33-14. dt 16.7.35.

erial No.

of the Regulation is made applicable to taxes in inam villages. This may be done by adding a Subsec (2) to sec 34 as follows

"For the purposes of this chapter, an irrigation work includes ~~such irrigation~~ such works in ~~an alienated~~ inam villages ~~what~~ though it ~~may not be~~ is not constructed, maintained or controlled wholly or mainly by the Govt"

~~As the~~ As the intention appears to be to empower the inamdar to enforce the liability of the raiyats as regards customary labour etc, I would suggest the following further amendments

1) Add the words "and in the case of an inam village of the ~~zamindar~~ inamdar also" after the words "It shall be the duty of the patel of every village" occuring in sec 34.

2) Add the words "The inamdar in the case of an inam village" after the word "patel" in sec 35.

Rev Dr) 12/3/36

8)

This may perhaps be referred U.O to the Rev Commr for his opinion on the suggestions made above.

Chief Secy) 13/3

9) dcg 13/3/36

WD 03594—GPB—30,000—13-7-35

File No. of 193

General and I Secretariat

U.O. No 78
2/16/3/36

11) Forwarded, with compliments, to the Revenue Commr in Mysore for favour of remarks.

14/5/36

Subbarayappa
for Chief Secy to Govt.

---

12)
Returned with compliments.

The amendments proposed in respect of Section 34 of the Irrigation Regulation are suitable and may be adopted. Sections 36 and 37 may ~~xix~~ be also amended on the lines suggested in the Secretariat Note.

25-4-1936.

P. Ramachandra Rao
Revenue Commissioner.

THE REVENUE OFFICE OF THE REVENUE COMMISSIONER No. 17 MAR. 1936 BANGALORE.

4165 Rev 25-4-36 27 APR. 1936

13) Subs. The amendments suggested in para 7 in respect of Sections 34, 36, 37 of the Irrigation Regn are inserted in the copy of the Regulation placed below. The amendments may perhaps be approved & referred to the Protection Branch for necessary further action.

Vide 1 Sec. 1936

CHIEF SECRETARY. Rec'd 27 APR. 1936

Approved
I
Draft rules put up
Consent draft

Chief Secy
25/4/36

Sec
14/5/36

14/5/36

14) Please put this up

OFFICE OF THE FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL 14 MAY 1936

Amendment to Irrigation Regulation 1936

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. ~~of 193~~ | [App. F. III—

Serial No.

after the L C Se wd now possible to take this up in 1 in the June Session as it is a late & has to be circulated Submd to H H before inclusion in N A agenda

15) As I have already noted on another file these legislative measures shd not be sent to me at the last moment, ~~& this file~~ as there is not sufft time to go thro all the formalities & this Session

JRD 1.7.36.

15.7.36

Hon

15.7.36 I

16) Subd for orders. The amendments proposed in respect of Sections 34, 36 & 37 of the Irrigation Regn are for consideration ~~& approval~~

Hon 3.7.36. (Chief Secy)

dcg 3/7/36

Council matter

Hon 3-7-36

dcg

17)

OFFICE OF THE PRIME MINISTER & COUNCIL 3 JULY 1936 No. 7 96

18) Leave from the Table's office. & Statement of objects & reasons is subd.

Please bring up.

Hon 4/8. 19) Discussed. Please put up a typed copy of Bill and state of objects & reasons. Hon

dcg 4/8/36 (Chief Secy)

The amendments proposed are in accordance with legal opinion. Statement of objects and reasons is for approval.

NOTE.—Contn. Sheet.

WD 0591—GPB—30,000—18-7-36

OFFICE OF THE PRIME MINISTER & COUNCIL 8 AUG. 1936 No. 96 c6

(Sir Secretary)

(Council Section)

dcg 19/8/36

19/8

8) Amendment to Immigration [illegible]

File No. 28/66 of 193 .

General and Revenue Secretariat

DEWAN'S OFFICE 1936 No. 370

9)

DFN Nuhs

17/8 - Chief Secy)

7/8/36

Dewan)

17/8

18/8

For Disposal by Circulation.

No. C. 15

9 193.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Council Matter.





Subject

Bill to amend the Irrigation Regulation, No.I of 1932.

23) *Circulated to the Members of Council.*

By Order,

Offg. Chief Secretary to Government.

11th August 1936

First Member of Council. (Seen)

24) Further action may perhaps be taken & and the case reported in the next weekly report.

Second Member of Council. 25) Nil

13/8/36 Dewan)

MS 13/8

26) JP

Returned to Rev Branch 14/8 MS 14

MS

Note by the First Member of Council.

Subject.—

Bill to amend the Irrigation Regulation No. I of 1902.

27 While passing orders on the recommendations of the Inam Commissioner of 1918, it was ordered that the responsibility of inamdars and tenants in regard to the restoration and maintenance of tanks in inam villages should be enforced on the same principle as in Government villages and that the inamdars should be given the favour of enforcing the responsibility of the ryots for the annual maintenance work. But no effect could be given to these orders till now for want of necessary legislation on the subject. The Inam Committee appointed in 1932 having urged the matter once again for consideration, Government directed that necessary legislation should be undertaken to give effect to the orders already passed. It is therefore proposed to amend the Irrigation Regulation so as to make Chapter VIII of the Regulation (relating to customary labour) applicable to tanks in inam villages. A Bill has accordingly been prepared in consultation with the Revenue Commissioner.

28 The Second Member of Council has agreed to the proposed amendment. The gracious permission of His Highness is solicited to place the matter before the ensuing sessions of the Representative Assembly and the Legislative Council.

Bangalore,
18th August 1936.

DEWAN-IN-CHARGE.

29 approves & His Highness.
6-9-36

Sub
(member)
(R.A. Section)

Bill Amending Income Rebate 1937

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 193 | [App. F. III—2

Serial No.

30) Secy - for orders if the Bill may be published for intro-duction in the morning i.e. The R.A has accepted the principles of the Bill. Hon is also for orders if the Bill need be referred to a select committee

chief secretary) 6/11 5-11

31) The bill may be published and go through the usual course as there is no urgency to adopt a different course.

1st member) 7 MPS 6/11 6-6

-2) It has been ordered that no sessions i.e. need be held in March and that pending Bills may be taken up in June 37 except Income Rebate Bills which can be passed at one sitting

Secy for orders if this

Bill to amend Navigation Regn.

File No. of 19 | General and Secretariat

Serial No.

DEWAN'S OFFICE 4/50

OFFICE OF THE FIJI LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL 17 NOV 1936 No. 3151

Bill may be [redacted] for [redacted] to [redacted] session.

See 6/11/36 (Mr Meeuken)

HOG 17/11

31) JPV 17/11 MMS 18/11

HOG 18.11

Sub for advice if this Bill together with the Sch. of objects & reasons may be published under Rule 8 for being introduced at the 1st Session of the

See 29/8/37 (Mr Meeuken)

HOG 30.3

33) JPV 30/3 MMS 30/3

HOG 31.3

Gen Secy)

HOG 31.3

(Mr Evans)

HOG 31.3

36) MO 1-4-37 MMS 2/4 HOG 2.4

GENERAL SECRETARIAT 31 MAR 1937

TYPE GENL & REV SECTS 3. APR. 1937

Typing sections for 20 additional copies of the Bill and Schedule of objects

500 Irrigation Bill

D 1619 -

Serial No.

37 To L-C office with typed copies of the Bill with St. of objects & reasons.

Sd/- J.
6/4/37

38 Three copies taken and the file put up. Prot. B.)

6.4.37

39 The Bill has been published & will have to be introduced into the ensuing session of the L-C and referred to a Select Committee if agreed and necessary. Submd. for orders if the following motions may be included in the agenda :—

(a) motion that the Bill be read
Minister — 1st member
Secretary — Chief Secy.

(b) motion that the Bill be referred to a Select Committee.
Mover 1st member
10/6/37 1st SC member.
1st member.

File No. | Registration No. of 19 . | General and Revenue Secretariat

42) Submitted with a note (for one member) for

43) May be referred to the Committee of L.C. appointed for this by noting down the names of members of the Select Committee to which the Bill was referred

6/7

44) The names are noted below:—
1. Mr. K.V. Anantaramam.
2 — B.T. Kesavierpar.
3 — H.V. Ramaswami.
4 — G. Srimoji Rao.
5 — B.H. Chandrasekhariah.
6 — B.R. Puttanajappa, and
7 The Mover (First Member of Council).

6.7.37

45) The above Committee is the same as the one to which the Bill to amend the Minor Bank Registration Act

46) Submit for orders as the date & hour of the Select Committee

Result for orders as to date of S-C meetings.

Serial No.

one copy sent to for the S.C.
1) Mr K.V. Ananthakrishna
2) " S. Abdul Wajid
3) " D.S. Rama Rau
4) " K. Chengalaraya Reddy
5) " M.C. Lingaraja Gowda
6) K.R. Subbarachar
7) K.R.S. Iyengar
8) J. M. Imam.

Serial No.

47) A convenient date has to be fixed for the 2 Select Committee meetings. Dusserah commences from the 5th October 1937. The R.A. Session commences on the 16th October and may end on or about the 24th October.

(1st/2nd Member) hg 10.8

48) Wednesday the 10th November may be fixed for the 2 S.C. meetings

(1st Member) hg 12.8

49) JPR 13/8 and 13/8. hg 13.8

50) Sahib write a draft of a notice of the meeting

SC 5/11/37 51) issued hg 5.11

Issued vide P 2358-63 & 5/4

52) The draft reports has been returned by all the members approved. Mr D. N. Chandra Sekharaiya was not present at the meeting.

53) The report with it approved be sent to L.C. Office for publication. hg 19.11 and vide P.2530

See 19/11. To L.C. office

See 9/11 Three copies taken

TYPING SECTION 12 NOV 19 GENL. & REV. SECTT.

WD 03594—GPB—30,000—13-7-35

ing section 5 copies of pr. appd.

Irrigation Bills

File No. D947 of 193 | General and Revenue Secretariat

54) A copy of the Bills as amended by the Select committee is put up.

55) If approved it will be sent to the D.C office for 1 copies printed. Yes Ag/24.11

See 23/11.

(Copy taken and file put to Prof. Sec.)

24/11/37 24.11.

56) The Bills were passed at the recent meeting of the D.C.

57) Send with a D.F. for sanction to promulgate the Bill into law

See 27/1/38 (Bill Members this Yes Secy)

Now 28/1/38 Ag 28.1

Ag 28.1

58) 29/1

DEWAN'S O... No. 5063

OFFICE OF THE SE... 24 ... 1937 ... AS LEGISLATIVE COUN... Legislative

GENERAL S... 28 JAN. 1938 Legislative

JAN 31 1938 No. 4902

Final Note by the First Member of Council.

17

Subject.—

Promulgation into Law of the Bill to amend the Mysore Irrigation Act.

His Highness was graciously pleased to approve, in September 1936, of the proposal to amend the Irrigation Act. The amending Bill was introduced in the Legislative Council in June 1937. After consideration by a Select Committee, the Bill as amended by it was considered and passed by the Legislative Council at its recent session.

I solicit the gracious sanction of His Highness for promulgating the Bill into Law.

Bangalore,
31st January 1938.

FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

Submitted to His Highness.

61) *I submit herewith a Final Note by the* First *Member of Council on the subject of* promulgation into Law of the Bill to amend the Mysore Irrigation Act

for His Highness' gracious ~~approval.~~ sanction.

M. Ismail

Dewan.

Bangalore,
31st January 1938.)

17

Record of Cases submitted for the Orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

Subject.

Promulgation into Law of the Bill to amend the Mysore Irrigation Act.

20

H-H-420

General and Revenue Secretariat.

SUBJECT :—

Promulgation into Law of the Bill to amend the Mysore Irrigation Act.

(*)

31. All urgent or confidential files returned with His Highness' orders may in the absence of instructions or indications to the contrary, be ordinarily circulated to the Members of Council for perusal after the issue of orders.

33. The Secretaries concerned should see that orders in the cases referred to above are issued at once and that the files are returned immediately after to the Chief Secretary for circulation to the Members.

34. In all other cases, the files will first be circulated to the Members of Council at Headquarters and then sent to the Secretariat concerned for the issue of orders.

63

Circulated to Members of Council for perusal of His Highness' orders before/after issue of orders.* (*Vide* Rules 31, 33 and 34 of the Subsidiary Rules for the conduct of business of Council in the Manual of Council Rules and Procedure.)

Chief Secretary to Government.

Through the Secretary to Government,

.....General..... Department. 4/2

64

5073
4-2-38

FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL.

4/2

65

5702
5-2-38

SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL. 6/2/38

Secy to Govt, Gen Dept.
with compliments

7.2.38

7/2

WD 1170—GPB—1,000—24-12-36

Act to amend the Mysore Irrigation Act

neral and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 25 of 1937. [App. F. III—2

Serial No.	
66	Sanction sent to a draft notification for publishing the Act. 5-9 9/2/38 Gen Sec'y
67	Issued 10/2 by 9.2
68	Issued by 10.2
1	No. P 4715 dt. 14/2/38 ordering the publication of Act VII of 193

GENERAL SECRETARIAT
9 FEB. 1938
4470

GENL

A Bill to amend the Irrigation Regulation, No. I of 1932.

Whereas it is expedient to further amend the Mysore Irrigation Regulation, No. I of 1932, it is hereby enacted as follows:-

1. After section 34 of the Mysore Irrigation Regulation, 1932 (hereinafter referred to as the said Regulation) the following section shall be added:—

"34 A. For the purposes of this chapt an irrigation work includes such work in an inam village, though it is not constructed, maintained or controlled wholly or mainly by Government."

2. The following shall be added after the word "village" occurring in line one of section 36 of the said Regulation:—

"and in the case of an inam village of the inamdar also"

3. The follow ll be added after the word "patel" occurring in line 3 of section 37 of the said Regulation:---

"the inamdar in the case of an inam village,"

A Bill to amend the Irrigation Regulation

No. I of 1932.

Whereas it is expedient to further amer the Mysore Irrigation Regulation, No. I of 1932, it is hereby enacted as follows:-

1. After section 34 of the Mysore Irri tion Regulation, 1932 (hereinafter referre to as the said Regulation) the following s tion shall be added:-

"34 A. For the purposes of this chap an irrigation work includes such work in a inam village, though it is not constructed maintained or controlled wholly or mainly Government".

2. The following shall be added after the word "village" occurring in line one o section 36 of the said Regulation:-

"and in the case of an inam village o the inamdar also,"

3. The following shall be added afte the word "patel" occurring in line 3 of s tion 37 of the said Regulation.--

"the inamdar in the case of an inam village,"

Statement of Objects and Reasons ~~for amending the Irrigation Regulation.~~

...

While passing orders on the recommendation of the Inam Commission of 1918, Government directed that the responsibility of inamdars and tenants in regard to the restoration and maintenance of tanks in inam villages should be enforced on the same principle as in Government villages and that the inamdars should be given the power of enforcing the responsibility of the ryots for the annual maintenance work. But no effect could be given to these orders till now for want of necessary legislation on the subject. The Inam Committee appointed in 1932 having urged the matter once again for consideration, Government directed that necessary legislation should be undertaken to give effect to the orders already passed.

It is therefore proposed to amend the Irrigation Regulation so as to make Chapter VIII of the Regulation applicable to tanks in inam villages also.

Section 34 is proposed to be amended so as to extend the rule about the enforcement of customary labour to an irrigation work in an inam village also though such work is not constructed, maintained or controlled wholly or mainly by Government.

Section 36 is proposed to be amended so as to make the inamdar responsible for the due performance of customary labour to an irrigation work in an inam village and section 37 is proposed to be amended so as to give power to the inamdar to get such work performed by hired -

labour when a person who is bound to contribute labour neglects or refuses to do so.

deg 2/8136

Statement of Objects and Reasons.

While passing orders on the recommendations of the Inam Commission of 1918, Government -- directed that the responsibility of inamdars and tenants in regard to the restoration and maintenance of tanks in inam villages should be enforced on the same principle as in Government villages and that the inamdars should be given the power of enforcing the responsibility of the ryots for the annual maintenance work. But no effect could be given to these orders till now for want of necessary legislation on the subject. The Inam Committee appointed in 1932 having urged the matter once again for consideration, Government directed that necessary legislation should be undertaken to give effect to the orders already passed.

It is therefore proposed to amend the Irrigation Regulation so as to make Chapter VIII of the Regulation applicable to tanks in Inam villages also.

Section 34 is proposed to be amended so as to extend the rule about the enforcement of customary labour to an irrigation work in an inam village also though such work is not constructed, maintained or controlled wholly or mainly by Government.

Section 36 is proposed to be a[illegible] as to make the inamdar responsible for the due performance of customary labour to an irrigation work in an inam village and section 37 is proposed to be amended so as to give power to the inamdar to get such work performed by hire labour when a person who is bound to contrib to labour neglects or refuses to do so.

III. General Principles of the Bill to amend the Irrigation Regulation.

While passing orders on the recommendations of the Inam Commission of 1918, Government directed that the responsibility of inamdars and tenants in regard to the restoration and maintenance of tanks in inam villages should be enforced on the same principle as in Government villages, and that the inamdars should be given the power of enforcing the responsibility of the raiyats for the annual maintenance work. But no effect could be given to these orders till now for want of necessary legislation on the subject. The Inam Committee appointed in 1932 having urged the matter once again for consideration, Government directed, that necessary legislation should be undertaken to give effect to the orders already passed.

It is, therefore, proposed to amend the Irrigation Regulation so as to make Chapter VIII of the Regulation applicable to tanks in inam villages also.

Section 34 is proposed to be amended so as to extend the rule about the enforcement of customary labour to an irrigation work in an inam village also though such work is not constructed, maintained or controlled wholly or mainly by Govern...

Section 36 is proposed to be amended so as to make the inamdar responsible ie due performance of customary labour to an irrigation work in an inam village and Section 37 is proposed to be amended so as to give power to the inamdar to get such work performed by hired labour when a person who is bound

N [illegible]ICATION.

No. 3878—L. C. Bangalore, dated 7th April 1937.

Under Rule 8 of the rules for the conduct of business of the Mysore Legislative Council, the accompanying Bill to amend the Mysore Irrigation Regulation, No. 1 of 1932, is published for general information with the statement of objects and reasons.

By Order,

SUNDARARAJA RAO,
Secretary,
Mysore Legislative Council.

Bill to amend the Mysore Irrigation Regulation, No. I of 1932.

Whereas [illegible]edient to further amend the Mysore Irrigation Regulation, No. I of 1932, it is hereby enacted as follows :—

1. After Section 34 of the Mysore Irrigation Regulation, 1932 (hereinafter referred to as the said Regulation) the following section shall be added :—

"34 A. For the purposes of this chapter, an irrigation work includes such work in an inam village, though it is not constructed, maintained or controlled wholly or mainly by Government."

2. The following shall be added after the word "village" occurring in line 1 of Section 36 of the said Regulation :—

"and ~~in the case of an inam village of~~ the Inamdar (also)" in the case of an inam village

3. The following shall be added after the word "patel" occurring in line 3 of Section 37 of the said Regulation :—

"the Inamdar in the case of an inam village."

STATEMENT OF OBJECTS AND REASONS.

While passing orders on the recommendations of the Inam Commission of 1918, Government directed that the

responsibility of inamdars and tenants in regard to the restoration and maintenance of tanks in inam villages should be enforced on the same principle as in Government villages and that the inamdars should be given the power of enforcing the responsibility of the raiyats for the annual maintenance work. But no effect could be given to those orders till now for want of necessary legislation on the subject. The Inam Committee appointed in 1932 having urged the matter once again for consideration, Government directed that necessary legislation should be undertaken to give effect to the orders already passed.

It is therefore proposed to amend Irrigation Regulation so as to make Chapter VIII of the Regulation applicable to tanks in inam villages also.

Section 34 is proposed to be amended so as to extend the rule about the enforcement of customary labour to an irrigation work in an inam village also though such work is not constructed, maintained or controlled wholly or mainly by Government.

Section 36 is proposed to be amended so as to make the Inamdar responsible for the due performance of customary labour to an irrigation work in an inam village and Section 37 is proposed to be amended so as to give power to the Inamdar to get such work performed by hired labour when a person who is bound to contribute labour neglects or refuses to do so.

Report of the Select Committee on the Bill to amend the Mysore Irrigation Regulation I of 1932.

We, the undersigned members of the Select Committee to which the Bill to amend the Mysore Irrigation Regulation, I of 1932, was referred, have considered the Bill and have now the honour to submit this our report

1. We recommend that no change is needed in the Bill except few verbal alterations. The bill need not be republished.

Sd/. K. V. Anantharamaiah

" B. T. Kesavieng-ar

" H. V. Ramaswamy

" B. R. Puttananjappa

" G. Girimaji Rao

" S. P. Rajagopalachari (Chairman)

Sri Chikkanna was unable to be present at the meeting

REPORT of the Select Committee on the Bill to amend the Mysore Irrigation Regulation, I of 1932.

OFFICE OF THE
FIRST MEMBER OF COUNCIL
NOV 11 1937
No 2926

We, the undersigned members of the Select Committee to which the Bill to amend the Mysore Irrigation Regulation, I of 1932, was referred, have considered the Bill and have now the honour to submit this our report:

1. We recommend that no change is needed in the Bill except a few verbal alterations ~~as suggested below~~: The bill need not be republished

by The words " and the inamdar in the case of an inam village " may be added after the wo " village " occurring in line 1 of Section 36 of the said Regulation.

2. As the only change recommended is the Bill need not be republished.

NOTIFICATION.

No. 2785—*L.C., Bangalore, dated* 23*rd November* 1937.

Under Standing Order No. 49 of the standing orders or the conduct of business of the Mysore Legislative ouncil, the following Report of the Select Committee on ne Bill to amend the Mysore Irrigation Regulation, I f 1932, is published for general information.

By Order,

A. SUNDARARAJA RAO,

Secretary,

Mysore Legislative Council.

Report of the Select Committee on the Bill to amend the Mysore Irrigation Regulation I of 1932.

We, the undersigned members of the Select Committee to which the Bill to amend the Mysore Irrigation Regulation I of 1932, was referred, have considered the Bill and have now the honour to submit this our Report.

1. We recommend that no change is needed in the Bill except a few verbal alterations. The bill need not be republished.

Sd. K. V. Anantaraman.
„ B. T. Kesaviengar.
„ H. V. Ramasvami.
„ B. R. Puttananjappa.
„ G. Girimaji Rao.
„ S. P. Rajagopalachari (*Chairman*).

N.B.—Mr. D. H. Chandrasekharaiya was unable to be present at the meeting.

Bill to amend the Mysore Irrigation ~~Regulation~~ Act No. I of 1932.

[Portions deleted by the Select Committee are enclosed in square brackets and those added are printed in italics.]

(*As amended by the Select Committee*).

Whereas it is expedient to further amend the Mysore Irrigation ~~Regulation~~ Act, No. I of 1932; It is hereby enacted as follows:—

1. After section 34 of the Mysore Irrigation ~~Regulation~~ Act, 1932 (hereinafter referred to as the said ~~Regulation~~ Act), the following section shall be added:—

"34-A. For the purposes of this chapter, an irrigation work includes such work in an inam village, though it is not constructed, maintained or controlled wholly or mainly by Government."

2. The following shall be added after the word "village" occurring in line 1 of section 36 of the said ~~Regulation~~ Act:—

"and [in the case of an inam village of] the Inamdar [also,"] *in the case of an inam village.*"

3. The following shall be added after the word "patel" occurring in line 3 of section 37 of the said Regulation:—

"the Inamdar in the case of an inam village."

REPORT of the Select Committee on the Bill to amend the Mysore Irrigation Regulation, I of 1932.

We, the undersigned members of the Select Committee to which the Bill to amend the Mysore Irrigation Regulation, I of 1932, was referred, have considered the Bill and ha now the honour to submit this our report:

1. We recommend that no change is needed in the Bill except a few verbal alterations as suggested below:

The words " and the inamdar in the case of an inam village " may be added after the wo " village " occurring in line 1 of Section 36 of the said Regulation.

2. As the only change recommended is verba the Bill need not be republished.

3. BILL TO AMEND THE MYSORE IRRIGATION REGULATION, 1932.

(a) *Motion that the bill published under Rule 8 of the Rules of Business be read in Council.*

THE FIRST MEMBER (*Rajamantrapravina* S. P. RAJAGOPALACHARI).—Sir, I beg to move that the bill to amend the Mysore Irrigation Regulation, 1932, published under rule 8 of the Rules of Business be read in Council. *Inam* villages in our State are not in a good state. The condition of these villages has been engaging the attention of Government for some time. A committee was appointed in 1918 to go into the several questions connected with the administration of *inam* villages. One of the recommendations of the committee was that the raiyats in *inam* villages should be placed in the same position as those in Government villages in regard to the restoration and maintenance of irrigation works and that the inamdars should be enabled to enforce that responsibility of the raiyats. The *Inam* Committee appointed in 1932 again urged this matter on the attention of Government. In passing orders on this report, Government decided to undertake legislation in the matter. Though the Irrigation Regulation applies to the whole of Mysore, it is doubtful if sections 35 to 39 of Chapter VIII of the Regulation would apply to tanks in *inam* villages. Irrigation works contemplated in the Regulation are those constructed, maintained or controlled wholly or mainly by Government. In the Bill it is proposed to make Chapter VIII of the Regulation applicable to tanks in *inam* villages also.

The rule about the enforcement of customary labour will be extended to an irrigation work in an *inam* village and the Inamdar will be made responsible for the due performance of such labour.

The general principles of the bill were placed before the Representative Assembly at its Dasara Session in 1936 and the House agreed to these principles.

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT (MR. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.) Sir, I beg to second the motion.

MR. D. H. CHANDRASEKHARAIYA.—Sir, I feel it my duty to make a few observations on this simple but important measure. Generally speaking the irrigation works in an *inam* village are as important as tanks in sirkar villages. But we have to look at the measure from the point of view of the raiyats living in *inam* villages. If this bill is going to confer any advantages or concessions to the raiyats living

Bill to amend the Mysore Irrigation Regulation, 1932.

Leave to move that the bill he read in Council

The condition of Inam villages in Mysore has been engaging the attention of Government for some time. A Committee was appointed in 1918 to go into the several questions connected with the administration of Inam Villages. One of the recommendations of the Committee was that the raiyats in Inam Villages should be treated in the same manner as those in Government villages in regard to the restoration and maintenance of irrigation works and that the Inamdars should be enabled to enforce the responsibility. The Inam Committee appointed in 1932 again urged this matter for consideration. Govt. decided to undertake legislation in the matter. Though the Irrigation Regulation applies to the whole of Mysore, it is doubtful if sections 35 to 39 of the Regulation would apply to tanks in Inam Villages. Irrigation works contemplated in the Regulation are those constructed, maintained or controlled wholly or mainly by Government.

In the Bill it is proposed to make Chap.VIII of the Regulation applicable to tanks in Inam Villages also.

The rule about the enforcement of customary labour will be extended to an irrigation work in an inam village and the Inamdar will be made responsible for the due performance of such labour.

The general principles of the Bill were placed before the R.A. at its Dassara Session in 1936 and the House has agreed to these principles.

in *inam* villages, we should certainly welcome it. But, it is not so. It imposes certain liabilities on the raiyats in *inam* villages without giving any corresponding advantages or concessions. Hence we are finding it difficult to accept the general principles of this measure. As we know, the raiyats living in mnfy *inam* villages are not holding any permanent occupancy rights. In a number of villages, they cultivate lands only on *varam* tenure. They have no *pattas* given to them. They can be turned out at any time by the inamdars. So, the position of the *inam* raiyats generally is one of uncertainty. Is it right to impose liabilities without conferring any advantages on them? As we know, there are as many as 2,098 *inam* and *kayamgutta* villages in the State, and of these only about 547 villages have been settled and surveyed, and in addition there are 144 villages which have been only surveyed but not settled. So the rest of the villages numbering 1,407 have no settlement or survey introduced in them. The condition of the raiyats living in those villages is still very bad. I feel that the imposition of a liability without conferring any permanent occupancy rights on those raiyats will centainly not be just. As the Bill stands, section 35 will apply to all the raiyats whether they are permanent or temporary in those villages. It is wide enough to include all classes of people living in the villages. Acocrding to that section, every occupier of land irrigated or served by an irrigation work as well as the inhabitants of a village or villages and all others who derive any benefit whatsoever directly or indirectly from the tank should perform in respect of such work customary labour without payment. Thus arises the liability I am speaking of. In the case of those tenants who have got permanent occupancy rights, it is all right. But, in the case of *varam* tenants who have only temporary rights, it certainly works as a great hardship to compel them to perform customary labour. The section can be applied to compel any person who allows even his cattle to drink in the village tank to do customary work without ~~any tank to do customary work without~~ payment. This is not right. If it is applied only to those villages to which survey and settlement have been introduced and to those tenants who have got permanent occupancy rights, there can be no objection to accept the measure.

in *inam* villages, we should certainly welcome it. But, it is not so. It imposes certain liabilities on the raiyats in *inam* villages without giving any corresponding advantages or concessions. Hence we are finding it difficult to accept the general principles of this measure. As we know, the raiyats living in many *inam* villages are not holding any permanent occupancy rights. In a number of villages, they cultivate lands only on *varam* tenure. They have no *pattas* given to them. They can be turned out at any time by the inamdars. So, the position of the *inam* raiyats generally is one of uncertainty. Is it right to impose liabilities without conferring any advantages on them? As we know, there are as many as 2,098 *inam* and *kayamgutta* villages in the State, and of these only about 547 villages have been settled and surveyed, and in addition there are 144 villages which have been only surveyed but not settled. So the rest of the villages numbering 1,407 have no settlement or survey introduced in them. The condition of the raiyats living in those villages is still very bad. I feel that the imposition of a liability without conferring any permanent occupancy rights on those raiyats will centainly not be just. As the Bill stands, section 35 will apply to all the raiyats whether they are permanent or temporary in those villages. It is wide enough to include all classes of people living in the villages. According to that section, every occupier of land irrigated or served by an irrigation work as well as the inhabitants of a village or villages and all others who derive any benefit whatsoever directly or indirectly from the tank should perform in respect of such work customary labour without payment. Thus arises the liability I am speaking of. In the case of those tenants who have got permanent occupancy rights, it is all right. But, in the case of *varam* tenants who have only temporary rights, it certainly works as a great hardship to compel them to perform customary labour. The section can be applied to compel any person who allows even his cattle to drink in the village tank to do customary work without ~~any tank to do customary work without~~ payment. This is not right. If it is applied only to those villages to which survey and settlement have been introduced and to those tenants who have got permanent occupancy rights, there can be no objection to accept the measure.

MR. H. R. GURUV REDDY.—In supporting this bill I wish to urge one or two points. Allowing an irrigation work to remain without ~~any~~ proper repairs effected either by the owner or by the Government is certainly dangerous to other irrigation works of the country. It is not as though a tank in an *inam* village is by itself existing without any connection to the neighbouring tanks in the neighbouring villages. In fact the entire set of tanks in the whole State is a net work of irrigation works connected one with the other. If one tank in one village over-flows, the water reaches directly another tank underneath it and so on till a river is reached, and ultimately the sea is reached. I submit that the tanks in *inam* villages have been neglected for too long a time. Instances of breach of tanks in those villages have come to the notice of the Government many a time and without any ~~redress~~ to the State at large. The neglect is too great on the part of inamdar though I am myself one. Practically three-fourths, if not more, of the number of tanks are not properly looked after. That may be for want of legislation empowering ~~him~~ to carry out the works or for other reasons such as absentee-landlordism. But the fact is there, that most of the tanks are neglected. Neither the inamdar is benefited nor the tenants by such a continued neglect. I would like to add one word. My Friend Mr. Chandrasekharaiya said that if the bill was advantageous to the raiyats in *inam* villages, it might be enforced. I quite see the point. There are innumerable advantages which the raiyats in *inam* villages are deriving whether they own or not ~~any~~ lands under any irrigation work in those villages. ~~He~~ has to depend upon the tank for very many of his necessities. ~~If he wants to have his cattle taken for~~ washing and drinking water, he has to depend upon ~~it~~ invariably. And not only that for any construction work, he has to remove clay from the tank bed. In the season when the tank dries out, if he wants what is normally called *pache var*, he goes to the tank to ~~take~~ fish and he is not denied that opportunity. Moreover it is hard to conceive a raiyat in a *jodi* village not cultivating any land under the jodidar. What for does he stay if it is not for cultivation of land? A piece of wet land is as precious to him as anything in a *jodi* village. The tenants, whether they are small or big in a *jodi* village, fight as it were for cultivation of a very small bit of wet land. And it gives the luxury food—rice—in a ragi growing tract. Almost every one in a *jodi* village likes—that is my personal experience, so I would put it—and also eager to have an opportunity of cultivating a piece of wet land so that he

may grow rice on it. When he gets advantages by the cultivation of this wet area, what is there strange? He is after all an agriculturist. He could certainly lend his personal labour for a day or two at the most and thereby save the irrigation work. In fact, even though there is no legislation I assure you that the villagers, whether they are *kadim* tenants or ordinary tenants cultivating land on *varam* tenure, go to the aid of the inamdar and even if the latter is not there, they usually go to the maintenance of the irrigation work, such as setting right the tank bund that is breaching or is otherwise getting damaged. So, there is nothing strange in this piece of legislation which gives a little more support to the inamdar to get the repair work done. It may be that in some cases the villager may not be able to ~~perform~~ the work. Not that he detests this sort of labour but he may not be feeling well on the day when he has to contribute his labour. In such a case, another labourer could be had and the contribution recovered from the raiyat. It is a harmless piece of legislation. It enables not only the inamdar's estate to be maintained to the mutual advantage of the inamdar and the tenant, whether *kadim* or ordinary, but also ensures safety to the big irrigation works in the State. For these reasons I beg to support the bill.

MR. K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY. It was just on the consideration urged by the previous speaker that the *Inam* committee of 1932 recommended that legislation of this kind should be introduced. One of the most important things is that given expression to by my Friend Mr. Chandrasekharaiya and I want to lay stress on that aspect of the question. It is stated that this ~~Regulation~~ is based on the recommendation of the *inam* committee. I think it is to be borne in mind that one main recommendation of the committee was that all *inam* villages must be surveyed and settled. And if I may say so, that was the bed rock recommendation of the committee, and I for my part would urge that all the other recommendation and the legislation based on ~~the recommendations~~ should follow this one bed-rock recommendation. Otherwise certain difficulties will arise in the application of certain sections of the Regulation. When this bill and the cognate bill relating to the minor tank restoration were brought before the Representative Assembly, I urged this very point and the President was pleased to say that it was under consideration to take action on that recommendation and even said that by the time this bill and the Minor Tank Restoration Regulation amending bill were brought into working order, many of the recommendations of the committee would have been brought into force. The question I would ask now is, whether the Government have considered the main recommendation

of the committee as regards the introduction of survey and settlement in all *inam* villages and if so, to let us know at what stage it is. I would say that this bill is something like putting the cart before the horse. If the Government had passed orders in regard to the compulsory introduction of survey and settlement in all the *inam* villages and in the wake of that if they had brought forward this bill, then it would not have been objected to. It was because it was brought before the Legislature without any action being taken on the main recommendation of the *inam* committee that there is some opposition and some misgiving as regards these bills. For example, if the bill is passed into law today, it may *ipso facto* apply to all villages. May be in the application of the provisions, it may be made to apply to settled villages and not to all villages. I believe the previous speaker who supported the bill had surveyed and settled villages in view. But ~~there are~~ a large number of villages ~~which~~ have not been surveyed and settled, which he has forgotten perhaps. The application of this bill to such villages will certainly work as a hardship and will result in much trouble. That is why I lay stress on the point that Government be pleased to issue orders for the compulsory introduction of survey and settlement in the *inam* villages and then bring forward this bill. With this qualification, I support the bill.

MR. H. C. DASAPPA.—Why not the Government say that this bill will apply to only surveyed *inam* villages?

MR. H. B. GUNDAPPA.—Some such assurance was given in the Representative Assembly.

MR. G. GIRIMAJI RAO.—In supporting the bill I wish to say a word. If the amendment is adopted, there is a distinct advantage to both the inamdar and the tenant. My Friends have advanced the argument to say that in villages where survey and settlement have been introduced the bill may be made applicable. But I want to know whether the occupancy rights have not been recognised by inamdars though survey and settlement have not been introduced. (*A voice*: "No".) Many inamdars have recognised the occupancy rights. I say that definitely.

MR. D. H. CHANDRASEKHARAIYA.—We have no objection to ~~introduce the law~~ apply the bill to such villages where survey and settlement have been introduced.

MR. G. GIRIMAJI RAO.—If the bill is made applicable to other villages also, there is no hardship. On the other hand, it would be a distinct advantage to both the inamdars and tenants if the bill is passed into law.

MR. S. P. RAJAGOPALACHARI.—I do not see why this point should be raised at all. The first point discussed by the *inam* committee, and the first point in the Government Order of 1935, is compulsory introduction of survey and settlement into *inam* villages. In the order Government have said very clearly that they prefer to accept the recommendation of the committee and are accordingly pleased to direct the compulsory introduction of survey and settlement in all *inam* villages, the cost being borne by Government as recommended except the incidental charges in respect of landholders.

MR. K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY. Is no legislation necessary in that behalf? The next sentence in the order is that legislation will be taken.

MR. S. P. RAJAGOPALACHARI.—I do not know why the ~~case~~ point was taken up first and the other next. Anyhow ~~this is~~ part of the Government order and of course it will be carried out. This bill has been brought up first because the easier points are ordered ~~upon~~ first.

MR. K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY. It would have been more in the fitness of things if this had come after the ~~other thing~~.

MR. S. P. RAJAGOPALACHARI.—There is the assurance that it must be done and I can tell you that it will be done. For the moment I cannot say in what stage it is, whether in the hands of the draftsmen or.....

MR. K. CHENGALARAYA REDDY. We have no doubt about it and we have read the Government order also.

MR. S. P. RAJAGOPALACHARI.—There are about 20 to 30 points in the Government Order. Those that were easier, we took them first. If not, we will have about 15 bills for the same session to give effect to all the points in the order at the same time. Survey and settlement have been ordered to be ~~done~~ and it will be done. I shall expedite. Whether it requires a mere executive order or legislation has got to be passed is being examined and it will be done. ~~You~~ need not have any anxiety in that regard.

MR. H. B. GUNDAPPA.—But an assurance was given in the Assemlby that both would be given effect to simultaneously.

MR. S. P. RAJAGOPALACHARI.—It takes about one or two years to pass these bills. By the time this bill becomes law, the other question will have been disposed of. I can assure ~~you~~ about that.

MR. B. S. PUTTASWAMI.—Do not survey and settlement take a longer time?

MR. S. P. RAJAGOPALACHARI.—We will consider in what places it should be applied.

MR. B. S. PUTTASWAMI.—If Government say that this bill applies only to those villages which have been surveyed and settled, we have no objection.

MR. S. P. RAJAGOPALACHARI.—It applies to all villages.

MR. K. R. SRINIVASIENGAR.—This bill has been conceived in the best interests of the raiyats and I do not see why there should be so much discussion over that particular point.

MR. PRESIDENT.—The question is:

"That the Bill to amend the Mysore Irrigation Regulation, 1932, published under Rule 8 of the Rules of Business be read in Council".

The motion was adopted.

(b) *Motion that the bill be referred to a select committee.*

MR. S. P. RAJAGOPALACHARI.—Sir, I beg to move that the bill be referred to a select committee consisting of—

Mr. K. V. Anantaraman,
" B. T. Kesavaiengar,
" H. V. Ramaswami,
" G. Girimaji Rao,
" D. H. Chandrasekharaiya,
" B. R. Puttananjappa, and
the Mover.

MR. B. T. KESAVAIENGAR.—Sir, I beg to second the motion.

MR. PRESIDENT.—The question is:

"That the Bill to amend the Mysore Irrigation Regulation, 1932, be referred to a select committee consisting of the gentlemen named by the hon. Mover".

The motion was adopted.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No. P2358-63 Dated, Bangalore, 5-11- 193 7

Official Memorandum.

A meeting of the Select Committee appointed to consider the Irrigation Regn., and the Bill to amend the Mysore will be held in the Chambers of the First Member of Council, Public Offices Buildings, Bangalore at 2 P.M. on Wednesday the 10th Nov 1937.

By Order,

8.11

Secretary to the Committee.

To, 1) K. V. Ananthanarayana Esq B.A
2) B.T. Krishnaiengar Esq B.A
3) H.V. Ramaswamy Esq B.A., B.L
4) G. Govindaraja Rao Esq.
5) D.H. Channabasavaiah Esq
6) B.R. Purushothamajoyas Esq M.A.,
Copy to P.A to 1st Member for I.O. orders.

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

Bangalore, Dated 5th November 1937

Official Memorandum.

A meeting of the Select Committee appointed to consider the Bill to amend the Mysore Irrigation Regulation and the Bill to amend the Minor Tank Restoration Regulation will be held in the Chambers of the First Member of Council, Public Offices Buildings, Bangalore at 2 P.M. on Wednesday the 10th November 1937.

By Order,

Secretary to the Committee

Sec

Appendix E G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Typ.

File No.

Serial No.

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

DO No. P 2530-4 Gen. Sec

To

Dear Sir

I am desired to forward herewith copy of the Report of the Select Committee on the Bill to amend the Mysore Irrigation Regn., I of 1932 and to request you to kindly return it with your approval at an early date

Yours sincerely

18/11/37

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 193 .

Date of issue 15/11/37

Signed by Asst. to Secretary Revenue

To

✓ H.C.V. Ayyangar Esqr B.A

✓ B.T. Srinivasaiengar Esqr B.A.

✓ H.V. Ramaswami Esqr M.A B.L.

✓ B.R. Puttaramaiya Esqr M.A. B.L.

✓ G. Siddamaji Rao Esqr.

List of onclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by.....

13/11

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,

Dated. Bangalore, 13th Novr. 1937.
15

D.O.No. P 253 to 34 Rly
Encl.

Dear Sir,

I am desired to forward herewith copy of the Report of the Select Committee on the Bill to amend the Mysore Irrigation Regulation, I of 1932 and to request you to kindly return it with your approval at an early date.

Yours sincerely,

Secretary to Government

K.V. Anantaraman, Esq., B.A.,
Revenue Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Report of the Select Committee on the Bill to amend the Mysore Irrigation Regulation I of 1932.

We, the undersigned members of the Select Committee to which the Bill to amend the Mysore Irrigation Regulation, I of 1932, was referred, have considered the Bill and have now the honour to submit this our report

1. We rec[illegible]o change is needed in the Bill except a few verbal alterations. The bill need not be republished.

To

The Secretary,
Mysore Legislative Council,
B A N G A L O R E.

Ref:- Your D.O. P. 2530 - 34 / 15-11-37

Dear Sir,

I have herewith returned copy of the report of the Select Committee, which I approve.

Yours Sincerely,

B. P. Puttamanjaih

Report of the Select Committee on the Bill to amend the Mysore Irrigation Regulation I of 1932.

We, the undersigned members of the Select Committee to which the Bill to amend the Mysore Irrigation Regulation, I of 1932, was referred, have considered the Bill and have now the honour to submit this our report -

1. We recommend that no change is needed in the Bill except a

4981/p837

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,

Dated, Bangalore, 13th Novr. 1937.

D.O.No. p 2530-34/Leg.s

Enc. one.

Dear Sir

I am desired to forward herewith copy of the Report of the Select Committee on the Bill to amend the Mysore Irrigation Regulation, I of 1932 and to request you to kindly return it with your approval at an early date.

Yours sincerely,

Secretary to Government

Approved and returned with Compliments

H.V.Ramaswamy

16.11.37

9549/3738

H.V.Ramaswami, Esq., M.A., B.L.,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,
Bangalore.

and 9

Report of the Select Committee on the Bill to amend the Mysore Irrigation Regulation I of 1932.

We, the undersigned members of the Select Committee to which the Bill to amend the Mysore Irrigation Regulation, I of 1932, was referred, have considered the Bill and have now the honour to submit this our report -

1. We recommend that no change is needed in the Bill except a few verbal alterations. The bill need not be republished.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,

Dated, Bangalore, 13th Novr. 1937.

D.O.No. P 2530-34/Leg.s

Dear Sir,

I am desired to forward herewith copy of the Report of the Select Committee on the Bill to amend the Mysore Irrigation Regulation, I of 1932 and to request you to kindly return it with your approval at an early date.

Yours sincerely,



B.T. Kesavaiengar, Esq., B.A.,
Chief Secretary to Government,
Bangalore.

Report of the Select Committee on the Bill to amend the Mysore Irrigation Regulation I of 1932.

We, the undersigned members of the Select Committee to which the Bill to amend the Mysore Irrigation Regulation, I of 1932, was referred, have considered the Bill and have now the honour to submit this our report -

1. We recommend that no change is needed in the Bill except a few verbal alterations. The bill need not be republished.

Report of the Select Committee on the Bill to amend the Mysore Irrigation Regulation I of 1932.

We, the undersigned members of the Select Committee to which the Bill to amend the Mysore Irrigation Regulation, I of 1932, was referred, have considered the Bill and have now the honour to submit this our

G. Girimaji Rao,
M. L. C.
ಜಿ. ಗಿರಿಮಾಜಿರಾವ್, ಎಂ.ಎಲ್.ಸಿ.
ಕೋಲಾರ.

300 P I/12
KOLAR.

Date 16th Nov 1932

To

A. Sundara Raja Rao Esqre B.A., B.L.,
Secretary,
Legislative Council,
Public offices,
Bangalore

Dear Sir,

I herein enclose Copies of the report of the Select Committee on the bills to amend the Minor Tank Restoration Regulation 1916 and the Mysore Irrigation Regulation, 1 of 1932 having been approved by me.

Yours sincerely,
G. Girimaji Rao

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Order No. P 1178 Legis 25-37-1

Dated, Bangalore, 14th Feb 1938

Ordered that the accompanying Act to amend the Mysore Irrigation Act, 1932

which received the assent of His Highness the Maharaja, on the third day of February 1938 be published as ~~Regulation~~ Act No. VII of 1938, in the *Mysore Gazette* for general information.

14/2/38

Secretary to Government
~~Local and Legislative Departments~~

To

The Compiler, *Mysore Gazette*, for publication in Part ~~III~~ IV of the Gazette, in English and Kannada and for supply of ——— spare copies in English and ——— Kannada ~~to this office~~, as per standing orders.

copy to Translation Section

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS
THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Order No.

Dated, Bangalore, 10th February 1938.

Ordered that the accompanying Act to amend the Mysore Irrigation Act, 1932 which received the assent of His Highness the Maharaja, on the third day of February 1938 be published as Act No.VII of 1938, in the Mysore Gazette for general information.

For General Secretary to Government.

To

The Complier, Mysore Gazette, for publication in Part IV of the Gazette, in English and Kannada and for supply of spare copies in English and Kannada, as per standing orders.

Order No. P. 4718—Legis. 25-37-1, dated Bangalore, the 10—14th February 1938.

Ordered that the accompanying Act to amend the Mysore Irrigation Act, 1932, which received the assent of His Highness the Maharaja, on the third day of February 1938, be published as Act No. VII of 1938, in the *Mysore Gazette* for general information.

M. VENKATESA IYENGAR,
Secretary to Government,
General Department.

ACT VII OF 1938.

(Received the assent of His Highness the Maharaja on the third day of February 1938).

An Act to amend the Mysore Irrigation Act, No. I of 1932.

Whereas it is expedient to further amend the ore Irrigation Act, No. I of 1932; It is hereby enacted llows:—

1. After section 34 of the Mysore Irrigation Act, 1932 (hereinafter referred to as the said Act), the following section shall be added:—

"34-A. For the purposes of this chapter, an irrigation work includes such work in an inam village, though it is not constructed, maintained or controlled wholly or mainly by Government."

2. The following shall be added after the word village" occurring in line 1 of section 36 of the said Act:—

and the Inamdar in the case of an inam village."

The following shall be added after the word patel occurring in line 3 of section 37 of the said Act:—

the Inamdar in the case of an inam village."